IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

§

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator, THE STATE OF TEXAS, ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator, THE STATE OF LOUISIANA, ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION
OF AMERICA, INC., PLANNED
PARENTHOOD GULF COAST, INC.,
PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF GREATER
TEXAS, INC., PLANNED PARENTHOOD
SOUTH TEXAS, INC., PLANNED
PARENTHOOD CAMERON COUNTY,
INC., PLANNED PARENTHOOD SAN
ANTONIO, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-022-Z

Date: January 6, 2023

APPENDIX TO PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC.'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND SUPPORTING BRIEF

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibit	Description	Page
1	12/03/2019 PPFA Federated Architecture	1
2	Excerpts from PPFA's Consolidated Financial Statements for 2020-2021	3
3	2022 PPFA Bylaws	7
4	Excerpts from PPFA's 6/27/2022 Responses to Relator's First Interrogatories	54
5	Excerpts from 7/1/2022 Expert Report of Donald E. Lochabay, Jr.	61
6	9/13/2022 Declaration of V. Barrow-Klein (Dkt. 174-4)	68
7	9/13/2022 Declaration of V. Barrow-Klein (Dkt. 176-1)	75
8	Excerpts from 9/16/2022 Supplemental Expert Report of Donald E. Lochabay, Jr.	80
9	Excerpts from 10/6/2022 Expert Report of Louis G. Dudney	85
10	10/6/2022 Declaration of V. Barrow-Klein	125
11	Excerpts from 11/16/2022 R. Exnicious Deposition	129
12	Excerpts from Texas's 11/28/2022 Responses to PPFA's First Requests for Admission	137
13	Excerpts from 11/28/2022 K. Custer Deposition	144
14	Excerpts from 11/29/2022 V. Barrow-Klein Corporate Deposition	207
15	Excerpts from 11/30/2022 V. Barrow-Klein Individual Deposition	240
16	Excerpts from 12/01/2022 A. Curtis Deposition	253
17	Excerpts from 12/02/2022 A. Doe (Relator) Deposition	263
18	Excerpts from 12/06/2022 E. Zalkovsky Deposition	271
19	Excerpts from 12/07/2022 J. Goldstein Deposition	279
20	Excerpts from 12/08/2022 T. Kramer Deposition	287
21	Excerpts from 12/08/2022 D. Lochabay Deposition	294
22	1/5/23 Declaration of V. Barrow-Klein	308

EXHIBIT 1FILED UNDER SEAL

PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC. AND RELATED ENTITIES

Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Information

June 30, 2021 and 2020

(With Independent Auditors' Report Thereon)

PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC. AND RELATED ENTITIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2021 and 2020

(1) Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

(a) Planned Parenthood Mission Statement – A Reason for Being

Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. (PPFA) believes in the fundamental right of each individual, throughout the world, to manage their fertility, regardless of the individual's income, marital status, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, age, national origin, or residence. PPFA believes that respect and value for diversity in all aspects of its organization are essential to its well-being. PPFA believes that reproductive self-determination must be voluntary and preserve the individual's right to privacy. PPFA further believes that such self-determination will contribute to an enhancement of the quality of life, strong family relationships, and population stability.

Based on these beliefs, and reflecting the diverse communities within which PPFA operates, the mission of PPFA and its affiliates is to provide leadership in:

- i. ensuring the provision of comprehensive reproductive and complementary health care services in settings which preserve and protect the essential privacy and rights of each individual;
- ii. advocating public policies which guarantee these rights and ensure access to such services;
- iii. providing educational programs which enhance understanding of individual and societal implications of human sexuality; and
- iv. promoting research and the advancement of technology in reproductive health care and encouraging the understanding of their inherent bioethical, behavioral, and social implications.

(b) Organizational Structure

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the financial position, changes in net assets, and cash flows of PPFA, Planned Parenthood Action Fund, Inc. and related entities (the Action Fund), and Planned Parenthood Global, Inc. and related entities (PP Global) (collectively, the Organization).

PPFA, which is the nation's oldest and largest voluntary family planning organization, maintains primary domestic offices in New York City, NY, Washington, DC, Miami, FL and San Francisco, CA. The Organization is also affiliated with 49 independent medical and related entities, the Planned Parenthood Affiliates (PP Affiliates), all of which are separately incorporated in their respective states and which along with PPFA collectively constitute PPFA's membership. The PP Affiliates in turn control 114 ancillary entities (including 61 Political Action Committees and 45 501(c)(4) organizations). The Organization, PP Affiliates and the ancillary organizations together comprise Planned Parenthood. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include the financial position or the changes in net assets and cash flows of these independent PP Affiliates or their ancillary organizations.

The Action Fund was incorporated in 1989 to encourage and protect informed individual choice regarding reproductive healthcare, to advocate public policies, which guarantee the right, as well as full and nondiscriminatory access, to such care, and to foster and preserve a social and political climate favorable to the exercise of reproductive choice.

9 (Continued)

PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC. AND RELATED ENTITIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2021 and 2020

On September 30, 2015, PP Global was incorporated to consolidate oversight and management of PPFA's international programs, maintain several international offices and to further its mission to support efforts to ensure that women, men, and young people in some of the world's most neglected areas have access to reproductive and sexual healthcare.

The individual entities have interrelated directors/trustees and share common facilities and personnel. Various expenses, including occupancy costs and salaries, have been allocated among PPFA, the Action Fund, and PP Global based upon services rendered by common personnel and usage of common facilities.

PPFA and PP Global are not-for-profit organizations exempt from federal income taxes under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) and from state and local taxes under comparable laws. The Action Fund is exempt from federal income taxes under Section 501(c)(4) of the Code and from state and local taxes under comparable laws. The Organization recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more likely than not of being sustained. The Organization believes it has taken no significant uncertain tax positions.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(c) Principles of Consolidation

All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

(d) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Organization have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting and to conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles as applicable to not-for-profit organizations.

(e) Functional Allocation of Expenses

The consolidated statement of functional expenses presents expenses classified according to the programs and supporting services for which they were incurred. The Organization allocates a portion of general and administrative costs that benefit multiple functional areas across programs and supporting services based on square footage or headcount. The various programs and supporting services of the Organization are as follows:

Healthcare – programs designed to improve and protect the ability to provide high-quality reproductive healthcare for all.

Education – programs designed to educate the public regarding reproductive health.

Advocacy – programs designed to empower all people to build the future they want and change cultural attitudes about reproductive health.

Research – programs designed to promote clinical research.

Management and general – involves the direction of the overall affairs of the Organization, which includes accounting, legal, administration, and related areas.

10 (Continued)

EXHIBIT 3 FILED UNDER SEAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

United States of America

ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Texas

ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Louisiana

ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc., Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast, Inc., Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas, Inc., Planned Parenthood South Texas, Inc., Planned Parenthood Cameron County, Inc., Planned Parenthood San Antonio, Inc.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-00022-Z

Date: June 27, 2022

<u>DEFENDANT PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC.'S</u> <u>RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO RELATOR'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES</u>

\$

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. ("PPFA") hereby submits its responses and objections to Relator Alex Doe's ("Relator") First Interrogatories (collectively, "Interrogatories," and, individually, "Interrogatory") as follows:

- 2. PPFA objects to Relator's definition of "Person" as vague, ambiguous, and/or overbroad. Relator's definition would render the Interrogatories unduly burdensome and oppressive and could result in responses that are largely irrelevant and/or not material to the issues in the current litigation. PPFA further objects to Relator's definition of "Person" to the extent it refers to affiliates, divisions, controlled companies, subsidiaries, and/or otherwise related entities and all of his, her, or its current and former officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, attorneys, and accountants. In responding to the Interrogatories, PPFA will define "Person" to mean natural persons and legal entities.
- 3. PPFA objects to Relator's definition of "Defendants" to the extent it is vague, ambiguous, and/or overbroad, or purports to require PPFA to respond to these Interrogatories on behalf of any other defendant, entities which PPFA does not control and within which PPFA is not able to search for information responsive to these Interrogatories.
- 4. PPFA objects to Relator's definition of "Statement" as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent such definition purports to require PPFA to search for information neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. PPFA further objects to the definition as vague and ambiguous to the extent it includes statements "otherwise adopted or approved by the person making it."

RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO SPECIFIC INTERROGATORIES INTERROGATORY 1:

Identify each claim submitted by Defendants to Texas Medicaid for Fee For Service delivered on and between January 1, 2010 and the present, including but not limited to: (a) the date the service was provided; (b) the amount of the claim submitted to Texas Medicaid; (c) the

Texas Provider Identification Number of the Defendant that submitted the claim (the suffix as well as the base); (d) the address of the Defendant that submitted the claim; (e) the address of the location where the service was provided; (f) the amount of the payment you received for the claim and the identity of the payor; (g) the date you received the payment for the claim.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY 1:

PPFA refers to and incorporates its Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and Objections to Definitions as though set forth fully in this Response. In addition, PPFA objects to this Interrogatory to the extent (a) it is vague and ambiguous with respect to the undefined terms "claim," "you," "Fee for Service," and "delivered"; (b) it seeks information from PPFA more properly sought from other defendants; and (c) it is duplicative of an identical Interrogatory issued to other defendants.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, PPFA responds as follows:

PPFA has not submitted any claims to Texas Medicaid for Fee for Service delivered on and
between January 1, 2010 and the present. PPFA does not provide any healthcare services and as
such has never submitted any claims to Texas Medicaid.

INTERROGATORY 2:

Identify each claim submitted through a managed care organization for services provided by Defendants on and between January 1, 2010 and the present, including but not limited to: (a) the date the service was provided; (b) the amount of the claim submitted to the managed care organization; (c) the Texas Provider Identification Number of the Defendant that submitted the claim (the suffix as well as the base); (d) the address of the Defendant that submitted the claim; (e) the address of the location where the service was provided; (f) the amount of the payment you

received for the claim and the identity of the payor; (g) the date you received the payment for the claim.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY 2:

PPFA refers to and incorporates its Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and Objections to Definitions as though set forth fully in this Response. In addition, PPFA objects to this Interrogatory to the extent (a) it is vague and ambiguous with respect to the undefined terms "claim," "you," "managed care organization," and "services provided"; (b) it seeks information from PPFA more properly sought from other defendants, which have relevant information in their possession, custody, or control; (c) it is duplicative of an identical Interrogatory issued to other defendants; and (d) it is duplicative of Interrogatory No. 4.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, PPFA responds as follows:

PPFA has not submitted any claims through a managed care organization for services provided on and between January 1, 2010 and the present. PPFA does not provide any healthcare services and as such has never submitted any claims through a managed care organization.

INTERROGATORY 3:

Identify each claim submitted by Defendants to Louisiana Medicaid for Fee For Service delivered on and between January 1, 2010 and the present, including but not limited to: (a) the date the service was provided; (b) the amount of the claim submitted to Louisiana Medicaid; (c) the National Provider Identification Number and/or the Louisiana Provider Identification Number of the Defendant that submitted the claim (the suffix as well as the base); (d) the address of the Defendant that submitted the claim; (e) the address of the location where the service was provided; (f) the amount of the payment you received for the claim and the identity of the payor; (g) the date you received the payment for the claim.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY 3:

PPFA refers to and incorporates its Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and Objections to Definitions as though set forth fully in this Response. In addition, PPFA objects to this Interrogatory to the extent (a) it is vague and ambiguous with respect to the undefined terms "claim," "you," "Fee for Service," and "delivered"; (b) it seeks information from PPFA more properly sought from other defendants, which have relevant information in their possession, custody, or control; and (c) it is duplicative of an identical Interrogatory issued to other defendants.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, PPFA responds as follows:

PPFA has not submitted any claims to Louisiana Medicaid for Fee for Service delivered on and between January 1, 2010 and the present. PPFA does not provide any healthcare services and as such has never submitted any claims to Louisiana Medicaid.

INTERROGATORY 4:

Identify each claim submitted through a managed care organization for services provided by Defendants on and between January 1, 2010 and the present, including but not limited to: (a) the date the service was provided; (b) the amount of the claim submitted to Louisiana Medicaid; (c) the National Provider Identification Number and/or the Louisiana Provider Identification Number of the Defendant that submitted the claim (the suffix as well as the base); (d) the address of the Defendant that submitted the claim; (e) the address of the location where the service was provided; (f) the amount of the payment you received for the claim and the identity of the payor; (g) the date you received the payment for the claim.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY 4:

PPFA refers to and incorporates its Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and Objections to Definitions as though set forth fully in this Response. In addition, PPFA objects to this Interrogatory to the extent (a) it is vague and ambiguous with respect to the undefined terms "claim," "you," "managed care organization," and "services provided"; (b) it seeks information from PPFA more properly sought from other defendants, which have relevant information in their possession, custody, or control; (c) it is duplicative of an identical Interrogatory issued to other defendants; and (d) it is duplicative of Interrogatory No. 2.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, PPFA responds as follows:

PPFA has not submitted any claims through a managed care organization for services provided on and between January 1, 2010 and the present. PPFA does not provide any healthcare services and as such has never submitted any claims through a managed care organization.

INTERROGATORY 5:

Identify each payment you received from any state or federal program from January 1, 2010 to present that you returned or refunded to the state or federal government, including in your answer (a) the name of the federal program, (b) the date you received the payment, and (c) the date you returned the payment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY 5:

PPFA refers to and incorporates its Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and Objections to Definitions as though set forth fully in this Response. In addition, PPFA objects to this Interrogatory to the extent (a) it is vague and ambiguous with respect to the undefined terms "you," "payment," and "program"; (b) it is overly broad, burdensome, and not calculated to lead to the production of admissible evidence or information; and (c) it seeks PPFA's sensitive,

EXHIBIT 5 FILED UNDER SEAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

United States of America ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Texas *ex rel*. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Louisiana ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc., Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast, Inc., Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas, Inc., Planned Parenthood South Texas, Inc., Planned Parenthood Cameron County, Inc., Planned Parenthood San Antonio, Inc.,

Defendants.

NO. 2:21-CV-00022-Z

DECLARATION OF VICKIE JANE BARROW-KLEIN

- I, Vickie Jane Barrow-Klein, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am currently employed by Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. ("PPFA") as the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer. I have served as Chief Financial Officer since March 2018 and as Chief Operating Officer since January 2022. Prior to that, I spent more than 20 years in the international development non-profit sector with experience working in complex federated organizations at a global scale.
- 2. I am over the age of 18 and have personal knowledge of the matters herein or have acquired such knowledge by personally examining the business records kept in the normal course of business by PPFA. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the facts in this declaration.

- 3. I make this declaration in support of Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc.'s Motion for Protective Order in the above-captioned case. I am familiar with the claims and allegations in the above-captioned case based on (among other things) my review of the Complaint filed by Relator and the Complaint in Intervention filed by the State of Texas.
- 4. I am familiar with PPFA's business practices regarding the treatment of confidential information consisting of or relating to, among other things, PPFA's highly sensitive strategic and programming priorities; the operations of PPFA and its Affiliates; PPFA's finances or the finances of a PPFA Affiliate; internal analysis relating to the business and performance of PPFA or one of its Affiliates.
- 5. PPFA has 49 member Affiliates, including Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast,
 Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas, and Planned Parenthood South Texas, that are, at their
 core, providers of healthcare services. The same is true for former affiliates Planned Parenthood
 Cameron County and Planned Parenthood San Antonio. As a Federation that connects these
 Affiliates with one another and with other Affiliates across the United States, PPFA competes
 with other organizations that facilitate the provision of healthcare services, including in
 facilitating the ability of its Affiliates to compete for patients in the market place. As a 501(c)(3)
 non-profit organization, PPFA is also reliant on securing donations and other grants to fund its
 mission of facilitating high-quality reproductive healthcare to patients around the country. In
 that context, PPFA is competing with similarly situated organizations to secure access to
 donations and grants, and to facilitate the access to donations and grants for its Affiliates. In
 addition, PPFA's mission elicits strong opposition actors who have conducted acts against PPFA
 and Affiliates that range from harassing business and mission partners to acts of violence.
 Courts have recognized this in situations where parties have sought to publicly reveal PPFA's

internal documents. See Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. v. Center for Medical Progress, __ F. Supp. 3d __, 2020 WL 2067500, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 29, 2020) ("CMP's plan was to create a video campaign...against Planned Parenthood with the objective of creating 'maximum negative impact – legal, political, professional, public – on [Planned Parenthood] and to 'create public outrage towards' Planned Parenthood.")

6. I understand that the Relator has challenged the confidentiality and attorneys'eyes-only designations that PPFA applied to certain documents that PPFA produced in this
matter. I understand that under the Protective Order entered in this matter, the party producing a
document can produce a document either with no confidentiality designation, with a designation
of "Confidential," or with a designation of "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only." I further
understand that the Relator is challenging PPFA's designations for 43 documents. As explained
below, those 43 documents all concern PPFA's accreditation process for, and analysis of, its
Affiliates and fit in the below categories of confidential and highly or especially sensitive
information. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a list of the 43 documents organized by category.

Documents Designated Attorneys' Eyes Only

7. PPFA considers and treats the information it has designated "Attorneys' Eyes Only" as especially confidential, proprietary, and/or competitively sensitive. Attached as Exhibit 2 is an illustrative selection of documents in this category. PPFA takes reasonable measures to protect this confidential, proprietary, or competitively sensitive information from public disclosure, including by storing the information in secure files, by limiting internal distribution to only those who require access to the information to carry out their duties and responsibilities, and implementing security procedures. The category of documents designated Attorneys' Eyes Only includes documents not shared with the public, as well as certain

documents and information which is not known by or routinely shared with PPFA Affiliates.

PPFA's employees also acknowledge receipt of policy documents that require PPFA employees to keep confidential internal private information.

- 8. The category of documents designated Attorneys' Eyes Only reflect PPFA's highly sensitive confidential information, including non-public information about the operations of PPFA and several of its Affiliates; analysis of the financial and other performance of PPFA Affiliates; PPFA's assessment of the success and performance of PPFA's Affiliates; and the strategic or programmatic priorities of PPFA and/or its Affiliates. In the regular course of business, PPFA does not release publicly the information reflected in the documents listed in this category.
- 9. Disclosure of this confidential and proprietary information could cause PPFA financial harm if it is obtained by the general public or PPFA's competitors, because it would reveal detailed information about the operations of PPFA and its Affiliates, and it could disrupt the ability of an Affiliate to provide care to its patients.

Documents Designated "Confidential"

10. PPFA considers and treats the information designated "Confidential" as confidential, proprietary, and/or competitively sensitive. Attached as Exhibit 3 is an illustrative selection of documents in this category. PPFA takes reasonable measures to protect this confidential, proprietary, or competitively sensitive information from public disclosure, including by storing the information in secure files, implementing security procedures, and by limiting internal distribution to only those who require access to the information to carry out their duties and responsibilities. PPFA's employees also acknowledge receipt of policy documents that require PPFA employees to keep confidential internal private information.

- 11. The documents listed in this category contain information that reflects PPFA's confidential operational or competitive priorities and how PPFA performs analyses of those priorities that do not rise to the level of the "Attorneys' Eyes Only" designation but is nevertheless confidential and sensitive. This is not information that PPFA ordinarily releases publicly.
- 12. Disclosure of this confidential and proprietary information could cause PPFA financial harm if it is obtained by the general public or PPFA's competitors, such as by revealing non-public information revealing how PPFA assesses the efficacy of its programs, or the strategic and operating priorities of PPFA or its Affiliates.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 13, 2022 in Mechanicsville, Maryland.

Vickie Jane Barrow-Klein

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

United States of America ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Texas ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Louisiana ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

Plaintiffs,

v.

\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\exi Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc., Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast, Inc., Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas, Inc., Planned Parenthood South Texas, Inc., 88888 Planned Parenthood Cameron County, Inc., Planned Parenthood San Antonio, Inc.,

Defendants.

NO. 2:21-CV-00022-Z

DECLARATION OF VICKIE JANE BARROW-KLEIN

- I, VICKIE JANE BARROW-KLEIN, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am currently employed by Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. ("PPFA") as the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer. I have served as Chief Financial Officer since March 2018 and as Chief Operating Officer since January 2022. Prior to that, I spent more than 20 years in the international development non-profit sector with experience working in complex federated organizations at a global scale.
- 2. I am over the age of 18 and have personal knowledge of the matters herein or have acquired such knowledge by personally examining the business records kept in the normal course of business by PPFA. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the facts in this declaration.

- 3. I make this declaration in support of Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc.'s Opposition to Relator's Motion to Compel in the above-captioned case. I am familiar with the claims and allegations in the case based on my review of the Complaint filed by Relator and the Complaint in Intervention filed by the State of Texas.
- 4. PPFA does not maintain a central repository of current and historical organizational charts, and an ad-hoc search of electronically stored information ("ESI") for organizational charts covering a 7-year time period would be very burdensome. Organizational charts are created on an ad-hoc basis by employees in many different departments and groups at PPFA. To search for potentially responsive organizational charts, PPFA would therefore need to collect and search dozens of custodians' files. For example, between 2015 and 2022, PPFA has had between 13 and 20 employees in the human-resources department each year. As such, PPFA would need to collect and search at least 20 custodians' files just to determine how these charts were created and managed in a single department.
- 5. A keyword-based ESI collection and review is also unlikely to be reliable, given that organizational-chart documents are not always specifically identified as such when they are attached to email communications.
- 6. PPFA does not maintain policies or procedures regarding the prevention, detection, or reporting of healthcare fraud and abuse by PPFA, because PPFA does not provide healthcare services or receive Medicaid reimbursements.
- 7. PPFA does not provide training regarding the prevention, detection, or reporting of healthcare fraud and abuse, because PPFA does not provide healthcare services or receive Medicaid reimbursements.

8. PPFA does not have a Health Care Compliance Program or Health Care Compliance Officer.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 13, 2022 in Mechanicsville, Maryland.

Vickie Jane Barrow-Klein

EXHIBIT 8 FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 9 FILED UNDER SEAL

'LLIN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

\$\rightarrow \tage \tage

United States of America ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Texas ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Louisiana ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,

Plaintiffs,

V.

Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc., Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast, Inc., Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas, Inc., Planned Parenthood South Texas, Inc., Planned Parenthood Cameron County, Inc., Planned Parenthood San Antonio, Inc.,

Defendants.

NO. 2:21-CV-00022-Z

DECLARATION OF VICKIE JANE BARROW-KLEIN

- I, Vickie Jane Barrow-Klein, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am currently employed by Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. ("PPFA") as the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer. I have served as Chief Financial Officer since March 2018 and as Chief Operating Officer since January 2022. Prior to that, I spent more than 20 years in the international development non-profit sector with experience working in complex federated organizations at a global scale.
- 2. I am over the age of 18 and have personal knowledge of the matters herein or have acquired such knowledge by personally examining the business records kept in the normal course of business by PPFA. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the facts in this declaration.

- 3. I make this declaration in support of the Expert Report of Louis G. Dudney, CPA, CFF.
- 4. Subject to the Planned Parenthood Online ("PPOL") policies, PPFA Affiliates pay quarterly dues to PPFA. Due to the substantial economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare providers, the PPFA Board waived quarterly PPOL dues for the quarter ending June 30, 2020.
- 5. PPFA maintains payroll and employee rosters that are wholly separate from any payroll and employee rosters maintained by Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast, Inc.; Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas, Inc.; Planned Parenthood South Texas, Inc.; Planned Parenthood Cameron County, Inc.; and Planned Parenthood San Antonio, Inc. (the "Affiliate Defendants").
- 6. PPFA's employees' salaries are paid by PPFA. PPFA does not pay the salaries of any employees of the Affiliate Defendants, nor do the Affiliate Defendants pay the salaries of any PPFA employees.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October &, 2022 in Mechanicsville, Maryland.

Vickie Jane Barrow-Kleir

EXHIBIT 11 FILED UNDER SEAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	§
ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,	§ § §
THE STATE OF TEXAS,	§ §
ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,	§
THE STATE OF LOUISIANA,	§ § §
ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,	8 8
ex rei. ALLA DOL, Relator,	8
Plaintiffs,	§ § § § §
ŕ	§
V.	§
	§ Civil Action No. 2:21-CV-00022-Z
PLANNED PARENTHOOD	§
FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC.,	§
PLANNED PARENTHOOD GULF	§
COAST, INC., PLANNED	§
PARENTHOOD OF GREATER	§
TEXAS, INC., PLANNED	§ §
PARENTHOOD SOUTH TEXAS,	§
INC., PLANNED PARENTHOOD	§
CAMERON COUNTY, INC.,	§
PLANNED PARENTHOOD SAN	§
ANTONIO, INC.,	§ § § § § §
	§

STATE OF TEXAS'S OBJECTIONS, STATEMENTS OF PRIVILEGES, AND RESPONSES TO PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO THE STATE OF TEXAS

To: Danny S. Ashby, Justin R. Chapa and Megan R. Whisler, O'Melveny & Myers LLP, 2501 N. Harwood Street Suite 1700, Dallas, Texas 75201; Leah Godesky and Craig P. Bloom, O'Melveny & Myers LLP, 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 8th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067; Ross Galin, O'Melveny & Myers, LLP,

7 Times Square, New York, New York 10036; and Amanda Santella, O'Melveny & Myers, LLP, 1625 Eye Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20006.

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff, The State of Texas serves its Objections, Statements of Privilege, and Responses to Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc.'s First Set of Requests for Admission to the State of Texas.

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1

Admit that PPFA does not issue stock.

ANSWER: CANNOT TRUTHFULLY ADMIT OR DENY. The State of Texas has no direct knowledge, or any reason to have any direct knowledge, of whether or not PPFA issues stock. What information the State has regarding this RFA has solely been gathered during discovery in this litigation. The State lacks sufficient knowledge to either admit or deny this RFA because the information the State knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable the State to truthfully admit or deny this RFA.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2

Admit that PPFA does not own any stock issued by any Affiliate.

ANSWER: CANNOT TRUTHFULLY ADMIT OR DENY. The State of Texas has no direct knowledge, or any reason to have any direct knowledge, of whether or not PPFA owns stock issued by any Affiliate. What information the State has regarding this RFA has solely been gathered during discovery in this litigation. The State lacks sufficient knowledge to either admit or deny this RFA because the information the State knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable the State to truthfully admit or deny this RFA.

Admit that PPFA and the Affiliates maintain separate bank accounts.

ANSWER: CANNOT TRUTHFULLY ADMIT OR DENY. The State of Texas has no direct knowledge, or any reason to have any direct knowledge, of whether or not PPFA and the Affiliates maintain separate bank accounts. What information the State has regarding this RFA has solely been gathered during discovery in this litigation. The State lacks sufficient knowledge to either admit or deny this RFA because the information the State knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable the State to truthfully admit or deny this RFA.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13

Admit that PPFA and the Affiliates maintain separate books and records.

ANSWER: CANNOT TRUTHFULLY ADMIT OR DENY. The State of Texas has no direct knowledge, or any reason to have any direct knowledge, of whether or not PPFA and the Affiliates maintain separate books and records. What information the State has regarding this RFA has solely been gathered during discovery in this litigation. The State lacks sufficient knowledge to either admit or deny this RFA because the information the State knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable the State to truthfully admit or deny this RFA.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14

Admit that the Affiliates are not financially dependent upon PPFA.

ANSWER: DENY

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15

Admit that PPFA does not have a provider agreement with Texas Medicaid.

ANSWER: ADMIT

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16

Admit that PPFA does not provide medical services that are billed to Texas Medicaid.

ANSWER: ADMIT

Admit that PPFA does not submit claims to Texas Medicaid for Fee for Service.

ANSWER: ADMIT that PPFA has not submitted claims to Texas Medicaid for Fee for Service.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18

Admit that PPFA does not submit claims to Texas Medicaid through managed care organizations.

ANSWER: ADMIT that PPFA has not submitted claims to Texas Medicaid through managed care organizations.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19

Admit that PPFA does not receive payments from Texas Medicaid.

ANSWER: ADMIT that PPFA has not directly received payments from Texas Medicaid.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20

Admit that PPFA does not control the Affiliate Defendants' submission of claims through Texas or Louisiana Medicaid.

ANSWER: DENY, as to Texas Medicaid; CANNOT TRUTHFULLY ADMIT OR DENY, as to Louisiana Medicaid. The State of Texas has no direct knowledge, or any reason to have any direct knowledge, of the submission of claims by any entity through Louisiana Medicaid. The State lacks sufficient knowledge to either admit or deny this RFA as to Louisiana Medicaid because the information the State knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable the State to truthfully admit or deny this RFA as to Louisiana Medicaid.

Admit that You never asked PPFA to return any money to Texas Medicaid during the pendency of proceedings to terminate the Affiliates' provider credentials under Texas Medicaid.

ANSWER: ADMIT that the State did not directly ask PPFA to return any money to Texas Medicaid during the pendency of proceedings to terminate the Affiliates' provider credentials under Texas Medicaid.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22

Admit that You have never asked any provider to repay money to Texas Medicaid during the pendency of proceedings to terminate that provider's credentials under Texas Medicaid.

ANSWER: DENY, since asking providers to repay money to Texas Medicaid is a separate process from proceedings to terminate a provider's Texas Medicaid credentials, and various provisions of the TMPPM, Provider Agreements, Texas Administrative Code, and Medicaid Rules and Regulations ask providers, either directly or indirectly, to repay money to Texas Medicaid.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23

Admit that PPFA has never executed any Medicaid Provider Agreement with Texas.

ANSWER: ADMIT

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24

Admit that PPFA has never presented a claim for payment to the Texas Medicaid program.

ANSWER: ADMIT, that PPFA has never directly presented a claim for payment to the Texas Medicaid Program.

Admit that You have never paid any funds to PPFA from the Texas Medicaid program.

ANSWER: ADMIT that Texas has never paid any funds directly to PPFA from the Texas Medicaid program.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26

Admit that Relator discussed their investigation into Defendants, as alleged in Relator's Complaint and Texas's Complaint in Intervention, with representatives of the State of Texas in 2013.

ANSWER: DENY

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27

Admit that Relator discussed their investigation into Defendants, as alleged in Relator's Complaint and Texas's Complaint in Intervention, with representatives of the State of Texas in 2014.

ANSWER: DENY

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28

Admit that Relator discussed their investigation into Defendants, as alleged in Relator's Complaint and Texas's Complaint in Intervention, with representatives of the State of Texas in 2015.

ANSWER: ADMIT, if "representatives of the State of Texas" refers to State employees, without any implication that those State employees had authority to speak for, or bind, the State.

EXHIBIT 13 FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 14 FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 15 FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 16 FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 17 FILED UNDER SEAL

```
Page 1
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                       AMARILLO DIVISION
2
3
    United States of America
    ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator, * CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-
4
                               * 00022-Z
5
    The State of Texas
    ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator
6
    The State of Louisiana
7
    ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator
8
              Plaintiffs,
    V.
9
    Planned Parenthood
    Federation of America,
10
    Inc., Planned Parenthood
    Gulf Coast, Inc., Planned
11
    Parenthood of Greater
    Texas, Inc., Planned
12
    Parenthood South Texas,
    Inc., Planned Parenthood
13
    Cameron County, Inc.,
14
    Planned Parenthood San
    Antonio, Inc.,
15
              Defendants
16
           17
18
                ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
19
            TEXAS HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMISSION
20
                    AND THE STATE OF TEXAS
21
                   30(b)(6) EMILY ZALKOVSKY
22
                       DECEMBER 6, 2022
           2.3
24
25
```

Page 96

amended those, and hence we've got the November 30th, '22 RFA responses that are Exhibit 12. Does that make sense to you?

A. Yes. I understand.

Q. Okay. So you probably discussed this with counsel for the State for purposes of your deposition.

But a Request For Admission is just a discovery tool where a defendant can ask a party to admit something, and a party either needs to admit it or deny it. Does that jive with your understanding?

MR. BRISSENDEN: Object to form.

- A. Yes, I understand that.
- Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that HHSC never requested that Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast repay the amounts it received from Texas Medicaid during the pendency of the injunction entered by the US District Court For the Western District of Texas and Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas V. Trailer. Correct?

 MR. STEPHENS: Object to the form.
 - A. Can you rephrase the question?
- Q. Sure. And maybe I can try to make it a little easier. So in the topic where it refers to the case caption, do you understand that the US District Court For the Western District of Texas is a federal court that issued an injunction regarding the termination of the

Page 97 Texas affiliates? 1 2. Α. T do. 3 So can we shorthand it and by just -- and for Ο. 4 this just refer to this as this the federal injunction? That's fine with me. 5 Α. Okay. So the guestion is, HHSC never asked the 6 Texas affiliates to repay the amounts that they received 7 under Medicaid while the federal injunction was in 8 9 effect. Correct? 10 MR. BRISSENDEN: Object to form. That is not -- the State is asking for 11 12 repayment of those fund with this lawsuit. 13 Ο. Okay. But for this lawsuit, you would agree with me that neither the State nor HHSC has ever asked 14 15 for the repayment of those fund? 16 MR. BRISSENDEN: Object to form. 17 I do not agree with the characterization of that. 18 In what way did the -- did Texas or HHSC 19 20 request that the affiliates defendant repay the money 21 that they received from Texas Medicaid during the federal injunctions, apart from this litigation? 22 A. Via the rules and regulations that are in the 23 provider agreement in the TAC, which, you know, put the 24 25 onus on the provider to understand their status and what

Page 98

they have to do to be a qualified enrolled provider and what they can and can't bill for.

- Q. The TAC is the Texas Administrative Code?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. Anything else other than the rules and regulations and the provider agreement in the Texas Administrative Code?
 - A. I have nothing else to add to that.
- Q. Okay. You would agree that there was no recoupment action initiated?

MR. BRISSENDEN: Object to form.

- A. Can you restate that?
- Q. You would agree with me that there was no recoupment action initiated seeking to recover the amounts that the Texas affiliates received during the pendency of the federal injunction?
- A. This -- other than this lawsuit. I just wanted to ask for clarification.
- Q. Sure. Correct. You would agree with me that there was no recoupment action initiated by HHSC to recover amounts the affiliates received during the pendency of the federal injunctions, other than this lawsuit. Correct?
- A. There is no separate action aside from the lawsuit and the regulations laid out in the provider

Page 99

agreement in the TAC.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And just so I'm clear, so you view this lawsuit as a recoupment action?
 - A. Yes. The State is requesting the funds back.
- Q. And I think you testified this morning that you -- well, let me just ask you again. Are you knowledgeable about the specifics of what a recoupment action is?

MR. BRISSENDEN: Object to form.

- A. Yeah. I don't understand the question. Can you provide more detail?
 - Q. What's a recoupment action?
- A. Generally --

MR. BRISSENDEN: Object to form and scope.

- Q. You can go ahead.
- A. Can you tell me which topic you're on?
- Q. I mean, we talked about this morning about general overview of the administration of Texas Medicaid, and I think you told me that you didn't know anything about recoupment beyond it being an action to recover money or potentially to, like, take a credit. That's all I was trying to refresh on.

Do you recall that testimony?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. So do you know anything about recoupment

Page 100 1 actions beyond what you testified this morning? 2 Are you -- can I ask a clarifying question? 3 Are you using "recoupment" as a -- like a specific definition? 4 5 Q. Yes, I am. Because "repayment" is the word used in this 6 7 document. Q. Yes. I specifically asked you about 8 9 recoupment. 10 Α. I --11 MR. BRISSENDEN: Object to form and scope. 12 Α. Yeah. 13 Q. You can answer, ma'am. 14 I'm not sure how to answer that. 15 Ο. It's a simple question. Do you know anything -- do you know anything about recoupment beyond 16 17 what you testified to this morning? 18 MR. STEPHENS: Object to the form. 19 MR. BRISSENDEN: Object to form and scope. 20 I understand -- and I think of -- you know, 21 recoupment and repayment in these cases have been used a little bit interchangeably. But I understand that the 22 State of Texas is requesting repayment of these amounts 23 with this lawsuit. 24 25 Q. Thank you. Now I'll just --

Page 101 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, 2 Ms. Zalkovsky. The mic just fell --3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I just noticed that. 4 Yeah. If I told you that under Texas Administrative Code 371.1, recoupment was defined as --5 sorry. Recoupment of overpayment. A sanction imposed to 6 recover funds provided to -- let me start that again. 7 Recoupment of overpayment. A sanction imposed 8 to recover funds paid to a provider or person to which 9 10 the provider or person was not entitled. A. Yeah. I actually have that, so let me -- I 11 12 think I have that part of TAC. So let me get it so I can 13 look at it, too. 14 MR. BRISSENDEN: Which section is that, to 15 help the witness? 16 MS. LOLLAR: It's 371.1. You have a copy. 17 I'm sorry. Could you read that again? Α. I will give it to you, but it is a long section 18 Q. of the code. I'm only going to ask you about recoupment. 19 20 Α. Can you --21 I'm handing you what we've marked as Ο. 22 Exhibit 13. (Exhibit No. 13 marked) 23 Q. And you'll see that Recoupment of Overpayment 24 25 is referenced on the page ending in Bates 18.

```
Page 1
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                       AMARILLO DIVISION
2
3
    United States of America
    ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator, * CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-
4
                               * 00022-Z
5
    The State of Texas
    ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator
6
    The State of Louisiana
7
    ex rel. ALEX DOE, Relator
              Plaintiffs,
8
    V.
9
    Planned Parenthood
    Federation of America,
10
    Inc., Planned Parenthood
11
    Gulf Coast, Inc., Planned
    Parenthood of Greater
    Texas, Inc., Planned
12
    Parenthood South Texas,
    Inc., Planned Parenthood
13
    Cameron County, Inc.,
14
    Planned Parenthood San
    Antonio, Inc.,
15
              Defendants
16
           17
18
                ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
19
            TEXAS HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMISSION
20
                    AND THE STATE OF TEXAS
21
                   30(b)(6) JEFFREY GOLDSTEIN
22
                       DECEMBER 7, 2022
           23
24
25
```

Page 132

amounts that the Planned Parenthood Texas affiliates received during the pendency of the federal and State court injunctions were overpayments?

MS. KRIEG: Object to the form.

- A. Actually under the Texas Medicaid Fraud

 Prevention Act, it spells out the civil remedies that may

 be obtained. And that includes -- let's see, I think I

 have that in here. Let's see. Where is that? That is

 under, on Exhibit 28, Tab 7. Section 36.052 spells out

 the civil remedies that are obtainable in this Texas

 Medicaid Fraud Prevention Act proceeding.
- Q. Okay. So are you saying that Texas does not contend that the amounts the Planned Parenthood Texas affiliates received during the injunctions were overpayments?

MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. Misstates testimony.

- A. They would still be overpayments under the TAC. But the way to recoup them would be to -- to re -- to get them back into the system would be through Section 36.052 in this case, since this is being brought as a Texas Medicaid Fraud Prevention Act matter.
- Q. So Texas's view is they are overpayments, and the mechanism for Texas to recover them is through Section 36.052 of the Texas Medicaid Fraud Prevention

Page 133 1 Act? 2 Α. Yes. 3 O. What is a recoupment? MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. 4 5 A recoupment is a recovery of money that was wrongfully paid. 6 7 Is it -- is a recoupment a specific type of action under Texas law? 8 9 MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. 10 A. Yes. 11 And what do you --Ο. 12 Under Tab 13 of Exhibit 28. Α. Q. Uh-huh. 13 It's Section 371.1711 entitled Recoupment of 14 15 Overpayments and Debts. Okay. And this -- basically this section, TAC 16 17 371.1711, describes how OIG can recoup money from a provider if OIG determines the provider committed an act 18 19 for which the provider is subject to administrative 20 actions or sanctions. Right? 21 MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. Calls for 22 a legal conclusion. Is that right, sir? 23 Q. 24 Α. That's partially correct. 25 Okay. Q.

Page 134

- A. The reading of A specifically states that the OIG recovers overpayments made to providers within the Medicaid or other HHS programs, whether the overpayment resulted from error by the provider, the claims administrator or an operating agency, misunderstanding or program violation.
 - Q. Thank you for that clarification. Okay

And did Texas ever seek to recoup Medicaid reimbursements provided to the Planned Parenthood affiliates during the pendency of the federal and State court injunctions?

- A. No, they did not, because the injunctions prevented us from moving forward with anything.
- Q. Once the injunctions were lifted -- you recall that in December of 2020, the mandate issued by the Fifth Circuit in the preliminary injunction was lifted. Right?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And then subsequent to that, there was a grace period and a State court injunction. Correct?
 - A. Correct.

MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. Object to scope for this witness.

Q. But in or around March 10th, 2021, the injunction were no longer lifted. Right? Sorry. The injunctions were no longer in place. Right?

Page 135

1 MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. Object to 2 the scope. 3 Correct. Α. Q. Did Texas initiate a recoupment action to 4 5 recover amounts, Medicaid reimbursements that the Texas affiliates had received during the pendency of the 6 7 injunction after on or about March 10th, 2021? MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. Object to 8 9 scope. 10 HHS and OIG did not. However, this action, the Α. 11 TMFPA case that we are -- that I'm being deposed on, is 12 that request. 13 Q. It's a recoupment? MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. 14 15 It is a -- not a recoupment, it is a recovery Α. 16 of civil penalties under the Texas Medicaid Fraud 17 Prevention Act. Okay. So neither Texas nor HHSC initiated a 18 Q. recoupment action, but Texas -- through the TMFPA action 19 20 that we're here about today is Texas's effort to recover 21 the money? 22 MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. 23 Α. Correct.

Q. Has HHSC ever asked a Texas Medicaid provider to repay amounts the Texas Medicaid provider received

24

25

during a federal court injunction that prevented the provider's termination from Texas Medicaid?

MS. KRIEG: Object to form.

- A. This is the first time when an injunction has been granted in a matter like this.
- Q. Okay. And same question for you regarding any requests from HHSC for a Texas Medicaid provider to repay amounts it received during the pendency of the State court injunction that prevented the termination of the provider?
 - A. Same answer.

MS. KRIEG: Object to form.

Q. Okay. Similar question. Any prior initiation by HHSC of recoupment proceedings to recover amounts paid to a Texas Medicaid provider under a federal or State court injunction preventing the termination of the provider?

MS. KRIEG: Objection; form.

- A. Same answer.
- Q. Has Texas initiated any action under the Texas Medicaid Fraud Prevention Act, other than the one that we're about here -- that we're here for today, where Texas was trying to recover monies that had been paid to a provider during the pendency of a federal or State court injunction that prevented the termination of the

Page 137 1 provider? 2 MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. 3 Not to my knowledge that -- this is the first time this has happened. 4 5 Okay. Does HHSC attempt to determine whether Texas Medicaid providers have engaged in fetal tissue 6 7 procurement or donation? MS. KRIEG: What topic are we on? 8 9 MS. LOLLAR: 15. 10 MS. KRIEG: 15 in the Texas notice? 11 MS. LOLLAR: HHSC. 12 The only efforts I am aware of are in this 13 matter. Okay. And did you conduct a review in 14 15 preparation from your deposition -- for your deposition 16 to determine if HHSC attempts to determine whether Texas 17 Medicaid providers have engaged in fetal tissue procurement or donation? 18 19 MS. KRIEG: Object to the form. 20 I did not see anything that showed that they --21 that Texas has determined whether any Texas Medicaid 22 providers have engaged in fetal tissue procurement or donation, other than Planned Parenthood affiliates. 23 24 Okay. Thank you. I have a slightly different 25 question, which is, does HHSC, in the course of

EXHIBIT 20

EXHIBIT 20 FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 21

```
Page 1
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2
                       AMARILLO DIVISION
       United States of America
3
       ex rel. ALEX DOE,
4
       Relator,
5
       The State of Texas ex
       rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,
6
       The State of Louisiana ex
7
       rel. ALEX DOE, Relator,
          Plaintiffs,
                                ) CIVIL ACTION NO.
9
       v.
                                ) 2:21-cv-00022-Z
10
       Planned Parenthood
       Federation of America,
11
       Inc., Planned Parenthood
       Gulf Coast, Inc., Planned
       Parenthood of Greater
12
       Texas, Inc., Planned
13
       Parenthood South Texas,
       Inc., Planned Parenthood
14
       Cameron County, Inc.,
       Planned Parenthood San
15
       Antonio, Inc.,
          Defendants.
16
17
       18
19
               ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
20
                     DONALD E. LOCHABAY, JR.
21
                       DECEMBER 8, 2022
      2.2
23
24
25
```

Page 152 1 Q. Okay. 2. MS. RAMER: Can we go off the record? 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, the time is 2:26. 4 5 (Break from 2:26 p.m. to 2:27 p.m.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 6 7 the time is 2:27. 8 MS. RAMER: Mr. Lochabay, thank you very 9 much for your time today. I am going to pass you over 10 to my colleague Mr. Ashby. 11 THE WITNESS: Thanks. 12 EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. ASHBY: 14 Mr. Lochabay, good afternoon. Ο. 15 Α. Hi. 16 I'm Danny Ashby representing Planned 0. 17 Parenthood Federation of America. I just have a few questions for you. 18 19 Α. Okay. 20 I want to pick up with where Ms. Ramer left Ο. 21 off on overpayments. So did I understand your testimony 22 to be that counsel asked you to assume that any payments 23 that you were calculating in the relevant period were 24 overpayments? 25 I don't know if they put those exact words Α.

Page 153 1 forth, but that was part of the description of the 2. assignment. So I believe that it's fair to say that was 3 an implicit assumption that stemmed from the assignment 4 on this case. 5 Q. That any payments that you analyzed constituted overpayments? 6 7 Α. That any payments between the periods of time that they asked me to calculate them were overpayments, 9 correct. 10 Ο. And you did make that assumption? 11 I did. Α. 12 And other than making that assumption and then Q. 13 quantifying the amount of the overpayment, do you have 14 any separate basis to believe that these were 15 overpayments? 16 I would say that that -- what we've discussed 17 is the only basis I have for that opinion. 18 Which -- which is the discussion with counsel Q. 19 about what you should assume? 2.0 Α. The discussion coupled with the analysis that 21 I performed to quantify the overpayments. 22 And your analysis was limited to quantifying Ο. 23 the payments? That's correct. 24 Α.

Okay. So see if we can do this economically.

25

Q.

Page 154 I want to ask you some questions about two of your reports, Exhibit 2 and 3. If you just would maybe put those in front of you, and maybe we can do this together. I'm going to be focused in both of these exhibits on paragraph 11. Okay. So do you have paragraphs 11 from Exhibits 2 and 3 kind of side by side in front of you

I do. Α.

there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Ο. Okay. And let me just ask you at a high level, would you agree that paragraph 11 in both Exhibits 2 and 3 are largely identical, with the exception being one's referring to Louisiana and Louisiana Medicaid and one is referring to Texas and Texas Medicaid?
 - Α. That's correct.
 - Q. Is that fair?
 - Α. Fair.
- So maybe with that I can focus on Ο. Okay. Exhibit 2, which is your Texas report. Correct?
 - Α. Correct.
- Ο. And can we have the agreement that, unless you tell me otherwise, your answers to my questions about paragraph 11 of Exhibit 2 would also fit for paragraph 11 for Exhibit 3? Fair enough?

A. Fair.

- Q. Okay. So I want to ask you about portions -the portions of your report that refer to Planned
 Parenthood Federation of America, which is what this
 paragraph is focused on. Correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And can we agree we'll just refer to it as PPFA? I think that's how you refer to it in your report.
 - A. Yes, that's fine.
- Q. Okay. Now in paragraph 11, you say that PPFA provides monetary and administrative support to its affiliates. Do you see that in paragraph 11, down maybe four lines?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And it goes on to say including the affiliate that provided service to Texas Medicaid patients after Planned Parenthood's termination from the Texas Medicaid program. Did I read that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now what's the basis for that sentence that I just read from your report?
- A. The basis or the source for that information in my report is the complaint that was filed in this case.

1 And I believe you cite -- is it Texas Ο. 2 complaint -- State of Texas complaint and intervention? 3 Is that right? 4 Yes, in this report, that is correct. Α. 5 Q. Okay. And then in the Louisiana report, the 6 only difference is you cite the Relator's complaint. 7 Correct? Correct. 8 Α. 9 Okay. Now other than the complaint -- the two Ο. 10 complaints that we've just discussed, did you review any 11 other documents in connection with making this statement 12 in your report? 13 Α. No. 14 And can you name any administrative support that PPFA provides to the affiliate defendants, as you 15 16 sit here today? 17 Α. No, I cannot. Did you review any documents produced by 18 Q. 19 Planned Parenthood Federation of America in connection 20 with your reports? 21 I don't know as I sit here, but if I did, it Α. 22 would be on my Exhibit C, documents considered. Your Attachment C? 23 Q. 24 Α. Or Attachment C, yes, that's correct.

I think we -- I think you testified earlier

25

Q.

that the -- well, maybe not. Are your Attachment Cs iterative, or does the last one contain everything, the last one in time?

A. Let me confirm before I say that.

No, the Attachment Cs are -- you have to look at all of them in combination. They're not cumulative. They are incremental to whatever else I reviewed since the last report.

- Q. All right. So -- and you've got all those Attachment Cs and supplements in front of you if you need to reference them. Correct?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. All right. So did you review any documents produced by any of the affiliate defendants in connection with your reports?
 - A. Let me take a quick look.
 - Q. Yes, please.
- A. I do not see anything other than the interrogatory responses that would have been produced by the affiliates, so I don't see document production, per se. And then if there were any documents that were produced that were then attachments to any of the legal filings, I may have seen something there.
- Q. Okay. But other than those two things, nothing else comes to mind and nothing else is listed on

Attachment C? 1 2 Α. That's correct. 3 Ο. Okay. Did you review any contracts between PPFA and the affiliate defendants? 4 I did not. 5 Α. Did you review any grant agreements between 6 Ο. 7 PPFA and the affiliate defendants? 8 Α. I did not. 9 Did you review Planned Parenthood Federation Ο. 10 of America's general ledger or any of the entries in its 11 general ledger? 12 Α. I did not. 13 Q. Same question for Planned Parenthood Gulf 14 Coast. 15 Α. I don't believe so. 16 Okay. Planned Parenthood South Texas? Ο. 17 Α. The question is back to the general ledger? 18 Q. Yes, did you review any of the general ledger entries in connection with the preparation of your 19 20 reports? 21 I did not. Α. 22 Planned Parenthood Greater Texas? Ο. Did not. 23 Α. Did you review any emails from any employees 24 0.

of PPFA to any affiliate defendant?

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Did you review the bylaws of any PPFA or affiliate defendant?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. Consolidated financial statements of PPFA?
- A. I did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Consolidated financial statements of any of the affiliate defendants?
 - A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. Did you review any of PPFA's tax returns?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. The affiliate defendants' tax returns?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. And the basis for the statement in your report that PPFA provides monetary support to its affiliates, if I understand it, is based on what is in the complaint. Correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you're not offering an opinion that PPFA controls the affiliate defendants. Is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And you don't plan to offer an opinion to that effect, do you?
 - A. I do not.

- Q. Okay. Are you offering any opinion that PPFA told the affiliate defendants to submit any claims to Texas or Louisiana Medicaid?
 - A. I am not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Directed them to do that?
- A. I am not.
- Q. And I take it you have no opinion also -you're not offering an opinion that PPFA is the alter
 ego of the affiliate defendants, are you?
 - A. I am not.
- Q. So, Mr. Lochabay, would you turn to paragraph
 22 in Exhibit 2? I just have a quick question for you
 on this paragraph. Are you there?
 - A. I am.
- Q. So the third sentence in paragraph 22 says it is my understanding that the State and Relator may not be seeking an award at the high end of my range calculated in Scenario 1. Did I read that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What does that mean?
- A. That was a conversation that I had had with counsel about the different ranges we were calculating and how they were significantly different. Scenario 1 is very high, and they said that we may not be actually seeking that amount but we want to calculate it for the

Page 161 1 Court to see. And so we talked about, well, we could 2 just put that comment in there. It was their 3 perspective, so I shared it. 4 Ο. So was this -- who was this conversation with? It would -- I can't recall exactly who was on 5 Α. the phone, but it could have included anyone from the 6 AG's office or Relator counsel. 7 Do you remember if it was both or --Ο. I don't recall. 9 Α. 10 Ο. Okay. And whose idea was this to include this 11 sentence? 12 Α. I don't recall that for certain myself. 13 Q. I mean, do you --I am stating my understanding that counsel 14 Α. 15 provided, so it is from counsel. 16 Would you have participated in this Ο. conversation, or would it have been a member of your 17 18 team? 19 No, I was on this conversation. 20 O. Okay. Do you remember whose idea it was? 21 this an idea suggested by counsel, or was it an idea 22 suggested by you? I think that the information that's contained 23 Α. here was counsel's. Whether to include it in the report 24 25 or not, I don't recall.

- Q. So, in other words, the idea of -- the idea that the State or the Relator may not be seeking penalties at those levels was their idea, not you looking at the numbers saying I think you guys might want to think about this?
 - A. I would say it was the first comment.
 - Q. Okay.

2.0

- A. I think counsel shared -- because we were talking about the scenarios and what are the possibilities of penalties that the Court could award that got us to these scenarios. But recognizing how large the penalty calculation Scenario 1 is, I'm sure we were discussing that aspect. But I think that that opinion about it was shared by counsel, and whether I said, well, can I put that in the report or whether they said maybe you should put that in the report, I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. And is that still your understanding today?
 - A. It is.
- Q. So I think now if we could go to Exhibit 6, which is your surrebuttal. Is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And I want to direct you to paragraph 13 in Exhibit 6.

Page 163 1 Α. Okay. 2 Ο. The first sentence there, would you read that 3 for the record, please? 4 Α. Sure. I was not asked to estimate or provide an analysis of a damages causation link for PPFA. 5 Okay. And before I ask questions about that 6 Ο. 7 sentence, you've testified as an expert on damages 8 causation before. Right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. I think we talked about that earlier today. Right? 11 12 I don't recall specifically, but we could Α. 13 have. 14 But nonetheless, you have testified as a Ο. 15 damages causation expert in the past? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. But you're not doing so here? That's correct. 18 Α. 19 Okay. Why not? 0. 20 Α. I guess first level, it wasn't part of the 21 assignment of the remedy calculation that I was asked to 22 calculate, and a conversation about that issue included talking about both the statutes in the states as well as 23 court cases and why that's not required for -- for the 24 25 State of Texas' lawsuit. So that's what I've sort of

EXHIBIT 22

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

88888

United States of America *ex rel*. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Texas *ex rel*. ALEX DOE, Relator,

The State of Louisiana *ex rel*. ALEX DOE, Relator,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc., Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast, Inc., Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas, Inc., Planned Parenthood South Texas, Inc., Planned Parenthood Cameron County, Inc., Planned Parenthood San Antonio, Inc.,

Defendants.

NO. 2:21-CV-00022-Z

DECLARATION OF VICKIE JANE BARROW-KLEIN IN SUPPORT OF PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

- I, Vickie Jane Barrow-Klein, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am currently employed by Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. ("PPFA") as the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer. I have served as Chief Financial Officer since March 2018 and as Chief Operating Officer since January 2022. Prior to that, I spent more than 20 years in the international-development non-profit sector with experience working in complex federated organizations at a global scale.
- 2. I am over the age of 18 and have personal knowledge of the matters herein or have acquired such knowledge by personally examining the business records maintained by PPFA in the normal course of business.

3. I make this declaration in support of PPFA's Motion for Summary Judgment. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the facts in this declaration.

A. **PPFA** and the Affiliate Defendants

- 4. PPFA is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York.
- 5. PPFA maintains its headquarters and principal place of business at 123 William Street, New York, NY 10038. In addition, PPFA has two other offices in Washington, D.C., and San Francisco, California.
 - 6. PPFA does not have offices in either the State of Texas or the State of Louisiana.
- 7. PPFA has 49 member affiliates, including Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast,
 Planned Parenthood of Greater Texas, and Planned Parenthood South Texas, that are providers
 of health care services. Relevant to this litigation, Planned Parenthood South Texas has two
 subsidiaries, Planned Parenthood Cameron County and Planned Parenthood San Antonio, that I
 understand are also defendants in this case because they had their own Medicaid provider
 agreements. I will refer to Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast, Planned Parenthood of Greater
 Texas, and Planned Parenthood South Texas (including its two subsidiaries) as the "Affiliate
 Defendants."
- 8. PPFA does not share offices or office space with any Affiliate Defendant. To the extent any PPFA employee might desire long-term use of some Affiliate Defendant's office space, PPFA would pay that Affiliate Defendant rent.
- 9. Employees of the Affiliate Defendants do not report to PPFA. PPFA does not control or otherwise dictate staffing or hiring choices for any Affiliate Defendant. PPFA does not pay the salary of any employee of any Affiliate Defendant. Conversely, no affiliate pays the salary of any PPFA employee.

- PPFA does not oversee or direct the financial decisions of any Affiliate
 Defendant.
- 11. An affiliate's revenue—including any revenue derived from a federal or state Medicaid program—does not impact the amount that affiliate pays in annual dues to PPFA.

C. PPFA Is Not a Health Care Provider and Does Not Participate in Medicaid

- 12. Because PPFA is not a health care provider and does not provide health care services, PPFA does not participate in any state's Medicaid program.
- 13. PPFA does not have—and has never had—a Medicaid provider agreement with either Texas or Louisiana. PPFA also does not maintain or review any Medicaid provider agreement entered into by its affiliates.
- 14. PPFA has never submitted a claim for reimbursement to Texas Medicaid or Louisiana Medicaid, either for Fee for Service or through a managed care organization.
- 15. PPFA has never received any payment from either Texas Medicaid or Louisiana Medicaid.
- 16. At no point in time has any representative from the State of Texas or the State of Louisiana requested that PPFA repay or return any funds owed to Texas Medicaid or Louisiana Medicaid by PPFA.
- 17. Neither has any representative from the State of Texas or the State of Louisiana ever contacted PPFA concerning any Medicaid funds allegedly owed in connection with termination proceedings.
- 18. At no point in time has PPFA believed that it owed the State of Texas or the State of Louisiana an obligation to repay or return any Medicaid funds.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 5, 2023 in Mechanicsville, Maryland.

Vickie Jane Barrow-Klein